

Randolph Township Trustees Public Hearing Thursday, November 12, 2015

Chairman Sue White opened the hearing with the pledge. Present: Trustees Sue White, Roger Klodt, Mike Lang, FO Mary Rodenbucher, L. Klodt, E. Myrla, T. Wise, D. Wise, T. Arnold-Koszalka, J. Lampe, C. Guthier, D. Rice, R. Huth, B. Siegferth, F. Huth, J. Francis, G Englehart, G. Huhn, J. Jenior, V. Coia

Sue explained the purpose of the Public Hearing is to review and approve the proposed Zoning Amendments as presented to the Trustees by the Zoning Commission. Mike feels we should vote on each amendment. Sue read each of the following amendments and asked for comments (Zoning Amendments attached).

Amendment 1 – Sue moved to pass Amendment 1. Mike seconded. RCV: Roger – yes; Mike – yes; Sue – yes.

Amendment 2 – Sue recommended that this amendment go back to the ZC due to concerns from the neighbors regarding the East Manufacturing property. Sue stated that Howard met with the neighbors and was very accommodating and will work with commission. Amendment 2 has been tabled at this time.

Amendment 3: Mike stated that the amendment went through the ZC hearing with no opposition to change. There were concerned residents on Bassett Road but no one showed up tonight. No one contacted the Trustees with any concerns. Sue moved to pass Amendment 3. Mike seconded. RCV: Roger – yes; Mike – yes; Sue – yes.

Amendment 4: Sue recommended continuing the hearing on this amendment until January 14, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. with the new trustee in place since it involves SAS. Mike explained that he received a letter from the Ethics Commission stating that he is not permitted to vote on this amendment. His letter implied that he is not eligible to vote as he is a contiguous property owner. Sue stated that she can vote. Roger stated that he is not permitted to vote as he is an employee of Sarchione. Roger explained that a majority vote is needed to pass the amendment which is why the hearing will be continued. Sue thanked Erin for recommending that each trustee request a ruling on voting eligibility. Sue explained a few items that happened at a zoning meeting. Sue further explained that contrary to what others think or said, she had no fiscal involvement with either the Ford or Chevrolet properties from either party. Even if she were paid the Ethics Commission made it clear that she could have voted on the amendment. Sue wants it made it clear that she can vote in spite of what others have said and upset people in the process. Rick asked if there will be the same problem with the lights as the school had. He also questioned the discussion about a fence at a zoning meeting. Mike stated that it is not pertinent for this meeting but should be brought up with the BZA. Sue commented that it is a good question. There was discussion on various items with the variance.

Amendment 5: Sue moved to pass Amendment 5. Mike seconded. RCV: Roger – yes; Mike – yes; Sue – yes.


Amendment 6: Sue moved to pass Amendment 6. Mike seconded. RCV: Roger – yes; Mike – yes; Sue – yes.


Amendment 7: Sue moved to pass Amendment 7. Mike seconded. RCV: Roger – yes; Mike – yes; Sue – yes.

Amendment 8: Sue moved to pass Amendment 8. Mike seconded. RCV: Roger – yes; Mike – yes; Sue – yes.

Amendment 9: Sue moved to pass Amendment 9. Mike seconded. RCV: Roger – yes; Mike – yes; Sue – yes.

With no further comments or questions, the Public Hearing adjourned at 7:15 p.m.

  
Susan White, Chairman

  
Mary A. Rodenbucher, Fiscal Officer